



1

Inclusive J/ψ production

B. Chargeishvili ¹, A. Durglishvili ¹, T. Djobava ¹, V. Kartvelishvili ², <u>T. Zakareishvili ¹</u>

1. High Energy Physics Institute of Tbilisi State University

2. Lancaster University

30.06.2021

The author was funded by the grants # DI-18-293, and #04/48 through Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation

Introduction

- Analysis already done "Measurement of the production cross-section of J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ mesons at high transverse momentum in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector"*, main analyzer Bakar Chargeishvili.
 - = J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ cross-sections were measured;
 - Prompt and non-prompt contributions were separated;
 - Analysis was performed in high-pT bins, starting from 60 GeV reaching 360 GeV region for $J/\psi.$
- We continue to study inclusive onia production at lower pT range (8 < pT < 60 GeV).
- Low pt dimuon trigger is used: HLT_2mu4_bJpsimumu_noL2

* CONF Note approved in Autumn, 2019: https://cds.cern.ch/record/2693955

Inclusive J/ ψ production studies at low pt

- Used data: 2015 13 TeV data samples;
- Trigger: HLT_2mu4_bJpsimumu_noL2 (available unprescaled for 2015 data);
 - Integrated Luminosity about 2.6 fb⁻¹, but can reach the lowest J/ ψ pT;
 - Sample extends up to ~150 GeV, but with low statistics;
- Acceptance cut: (pT1>4 && pT2>4);
- Use unweighted events for yield determination, with average perbin efficiency and acceptance corrections applied at the next step.

Fit function modification

The fit model is described by a sum of the following terms:

$$PDF(m,\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{7} \kappa_i f_i(m) \cdot (h_i(\tau) \otimes R(\tau)) \cdot C_i(m,\tau).$$
(4)

where *m* is the dimuon invariant mass, while τ is the pseudo-proper lifetime of the dimuon. $R(\tau)$ in eq. (4) is the function describing the experimental resolution in pseudo-proper lifetime. It is parameterised as a weighted sum of three Gaussians, with $\sigma_2 = 2\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3 = 3\sigma_1$, where the relative weights and σ_1 are free parameters. $\omega^* CB_1(S_1^* \sigma_1) + (1-\omega)^* G_2(S_2^* \sigma_1)$

								\mathbf{w} and \mathbf{s}_2 are m
i	Туре	P/NP	$f_i(m)$	$h_i(\tau)$	$C_i(m,\tau)$	Notation	Function	parameters – determined using MC
1	J/ψ	Р	$\omega G_1(m) + (1 - \omega)CB_1(m)$	$\delta(\tau)$	$BV(m,\tau,\rho)$	G	Gaussian	fits
2 3	J/ψ ψ(2S)	NP P	$\omega G_1(m) + (1 - \omega)CB_1(m)$ $\omega G_2(m) + (1 - \omega)CB_2(m)$	$E_1(\tau)$ $\delta(\tau)$	1		Crystal Ball	
4	$\psi(2S)$	NP	$\omega G_2(m) + (1 - \omega) CB_2(m)$	$E_2(\tau)$	1	E B	Exponential Bernstein polynomials	• $ω^*E(τ1) + (1-ω)^*E(A^*τ1)$
5	Bkg	Р	B	$\delta(au)$	1	BV	Correlation term of the	A – free fit parameter
6 7	Bkg Bkg	NP NP	$E_4(m)$ $E_6(m)$	$E_5(\tau)$ $E_7(\tau)$	1		bivariate Gaussian dist.	

() and S and fit

4

Table 1: Parameterisation of the fit model. Notation is explained in the text and in the table on the right.

• Fit yields are corrected with acceptance, trigger efficiency and reconstruction weights.

From yields to cross-section

For low pt:

- $\sigma = yield / (E_{trig} * E_{trigSF} * E_{acc} * E_{reco} * \Delta p_T * \Delta Y * L)$
- $E_{trig} = N_{trig} / N_{reco}$
- $E_{reco} = N_{reco}/N_{truth}$
- E_{acc} = Mean acceptance efficiency for the specific bin
- E_{trigSF} = trigger SF for each trigger muon.

J/ψ cross-section



Bin migration correction factors

- Depending on the definition of the efficiency we may need bin migration correction factors.
- The finite resolution of the detector causes the bin migration effect and appropriate correction should be applied on the cross-section.
- The procedure was developed for the preliminary ConfNote in python and now was reimplemented in c++, with obtaining the same results.



Next few steps:

- Fits need attention in the first few p_T bins;
- Determine the trigger and reconstruction efficiency weights for $\psi(2S);$
- Study systematics.

Thank you!



1/E_trig



1/E_reco



1/E_acc



1/E_trigSF



pT_reco/pT_truth vs pT_truth



Sigma and mean fits



The extracted distribution of means are fitted using const function (mean=1) and for modeling the sigma-pT dependence the linear approximation is used.

Cross-section fitting



Steps:

1. Fitted with:

TF1("**f0**","[0]*pow((([1]+60)/([1]+x)),[2])");

2. Fitted with:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{TF1}("f1_pre","0.01126*[0]*pow((([1]+60)/([1]+x*(1-2.85696*f_sigma))),[2])+0.22208*[0]*pow((([1]+60)/([1]+x*(1-1.35562*f_sigma))),[2])+0.53332*[0]*pow(((([1]+60)/([1]+x)),[2])+0.22208*[0]*pow(((([1]+60)/([1]+x*(1+1.35562*f_sigma))),[2])+0.01126*[0]*pow(((([1]+60)/([1]+x*(1+2.85696*f_sigma))),[2])"); \end{split}$$

f_sigma is function obtained for sigma distribution fitting: f_sigma = TF1("f0","[0]*pow((([1]+60) /([1]+x)) ,[2])");

3. for

TF1("f1","[0]*pow((([1]+60)/([1]+x)),[2])"); parameters 0,1,2 are obtained and fixed from previous fit.

4. In chosen pT bins (range), functions f1 (step 3) and f0 (step 1) are integrated and ratio (I2/I1) is the correction factor – BinMigration weight, for a given pT bin.

BPHY1 MC

- Lower p_T range has much higher statistics, and more complex lineshapes.
- Allows moving to narrower binning, but additional shapes in the fit model still necessary.
- Too many parameters make fits unstable In order to fine-tune signal shapes, same fits were applied to signal-only MC distributions (mix of pp and bb)
- Aim to fix some parameters once fits move to data, with parameter varied at systematics stage

MC: $bb \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ DAODs used:

1. mc16_13TeV.300203.Pythia8BPhotospp_A14_CTEQ6L1_bb_Jpsimu3p5mu3p5.deriv.DAOD_BPHY1.e4889_a875_r93 64_p3648

MC: $pp \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ DAODs used:

- $1.\ mc16_13 TeV. 300013. Py thia 8B_A14_CTEQ6L1_pp_Jpsimu3p5 mu3p5. deriv. DAOD_BPHY1.e7703_a875_r9364_p4277$
- 2. mc16_13TeV.300000.Pythia8BPhotospp_A14_CTEQ6L1_pp_Jpsimu2p5mu2p5.deriv.DAOD_BPHY1.e3989_s3126_r9 364_p4277

Description of MC produced $(pp \rightarrow J/\psi)$:

- Derivation format: BPHY1
- AthDerivation cache used: 21.2.105.0
- Production: unskimmed production